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## Notes

Unless otherwise noted, all data are for 2015-16 and include all grades offered by the district.
In most tables, data are displayed only for the three major race/ethnicity categories. For additional race/ethnicity categories, please visit edsight.ct.gov.
State totals are not displayed as they are not comparable to district totals.
Special Education tables reflect only students for whom the district is fiscally responsible.

* When an asterisk is displayed, data have been suppressed to ensure student confidentiality. N/A is displayed when a category is not applicable for a district or school.


## Students

| October 1, 2015 Enrollment |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | CountDistrict <br> Percent of Total <br> $(\%)$ | State <br> Percent of Total <br> $(\%)$ |  |
| Female | 4,946 | 49.0 | 48.3 |
| Male | 5,145 | 51.0 | 51.6 |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | 7 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| Asian | 594 | 5.9 | 4.9 |
| Black or African American | 225 | 2.2 | 12.8 |
| Hispanic or Latino | 906 | 9.0 | 23.0 |
| Pacific Islander | 6 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Two or More Races | 334 | 3.3 | 2.7 |
| White | 8,019 | 79.5 | 55.9 |
| English Learners | 213 | 2.1 | 6.4 |
| Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals | 902 | 8.9 | 38.0 |
| Students with Disabilities ${ }^{1}$ | 1,121 | 11.1 | 13.7 |

${ }^{1}$ Students in this category are students with Individualized Education Programs (IEPs) only. This category does not include students with Section 504 Plans.

## Chronic Absenteeism and Suspension/Expulsion

|  | Chronic <br> Absenteeism |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Count | Suspension/ <br> Expulsion |  |  |
|  | Rate (\%) | Count | Rate (\%) |  |
| Female | 191 | 3.9 | 40 | 0.8 |
| Male | 188 | 3.7 | 168 | 3.2 |
| Black or African American | 8 | 3.7 | 12 | 5.4 |
| Hispanic or Latino | 45 | 4.9 | 36 | 3.8 |
| White | 290 | 3.7 | 147 | 1.8 |
| English Learners | 12 | 5.2 | 9 | 3.9 |
| Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals | 76 | 8.5 | 74 | 7.2 |
| Students with Disabilities | 99 | 8.9 | 60 | 4.6 |
| District | 379 | 3.8 | 208 | 2.0 |
| State |  | 9.6 |  | 7.0 |

Number of students in 2014-15 qualified as truant under state statute: 111
Number of school-based arrests: Fewer than 6
${ }^{2}$ A student is chronically absent if he/she misses ten percent or greater of the total number of days enrolled in the school year for any reason. Pre-Kindergarten students are excluded from this calculation.
${ }^{3}$ The count and percentage of students who receive at least one in-school suspension, out-of-school suspension or expulsion.
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## Educators

| Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) ${ }^{1}$ Staff |  | Educators by Race/Ethnicity |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FTE |  | District <br> Count Percent of Total <br> (\%) |  | State Percent of Total (\%) |
| General Education <br> Teachers and Instructors | 694.7 |  |  |  |  |
| Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants | 42.6 | American Indian or Alaska Native | 0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Special Education | $\begin{aligned} & 105.1 \\ & 178.3 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Teachers and Instructors |  | Asian <br> Black or African American | 0 | 0.0 | 1.0 |
| Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants |  |  | 0 | 0.0 | 3.5 |
| Administrators, Coordinators and Department Chairs | $\begin{aligned} & 15.0 \\ & 40.8 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| District Central Office |  | Hispanic or Latino | $21 \quad 2.0$ |  | 3.5 |
| School Level |  | Pacific Islander | 00.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Library/Media |  | Two or More Races | $11 \quad 1.1$ |  | 0.1 |
| Specialists (Certified) | 19.6 | White | $999 \quad 96.9$ |  | 91.7 |
| Support Staff | 16.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Instructional Specialists Who Support Teachers | 67.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Counselors, Social Workers and School Psychologists | 63.2 | Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |
| School Nurses | 22.0 | Percent of Total (\%) |  |  |  |
| Other Staff Providing Non-Instructional Services/Support | 442.3 | District |  |  | 99.8 |
| ${ }^{1}$ In the full-time equivalent count, staff members working part-time in the school are counted as a fraction of full-time. For example, a teacher who works half-time in a school contributes 0.50 to the school's staff count. |  | District Poverty Quartile: Low |  |  |  |
|  |  | State High Poverty Quartile Schools |  |  | 97.6 |
|  |  | State Low Poverty Quartile Schools |  |  | 99.6 |
|  |  | ${ }^{2}$ Core academic classes taught by teachers who are fully certified to teach in that subject area. |  |  |  |
| Classroom Teacher Attendance: 2014-15 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | District | State |  |
| Average Number of FTE Days Abse | Due to | s or Personal Time | 10.8 | 9.4 |  |

## Instruction and Resources

## 11th and 12th Graders Enrolled in

 College-and-Career-Readiness Courses during High School ${ }^{3}$|  | 11th |  | 12th |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Count | Rate (\%) | Count | Rate (\%) |
| Black or African American | 18 | 85.7 | 26 | 92.9 |
| Hispanic or Latino | 65 | 94.2 | 51 | 94.4 |
| White | 578 | 96.5 | 605 | 95.4 |
| English Learners | $*$ | $*$ | 8 | $*$ |
| Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals | 66 | 90.4 | 64 | 92.8 |
| Students with Disabilities | 75 | 91.5 | 77 | 71.3 |
| District | 709 | 96.1 | 737 | 95.3 |
| State |  | 61.2 |  | 73.9 |

[^0]Students with Disabilities Who Spend 79.1 to 100 Percent of Time with Nondisabled Peers ${ }^{4}$

|  | Count | Rate (\%) |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
| Autism | 73 | 60.8 |
| Emotional Disturbance | 25 | 45.5 |
| Intellectual Disability | 317 | 38.7 |
| Learning Disability | 213 | 82.2 |
| Other Health Impairment | 15 | 42.2 |
| Other Disabilities | 155 | 85.6 |
| Speech/Language Impairment | 810 | 79.3 |
| District |  | 68.8 |
| State |  |  |

${ }^{4}$ Ages 6-21
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## Students with Disabilities by Primary Disability ${ }^{1}$

|  | District |  | State |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | Rate (\%) | Rate (\%) |
| Autism | 124 | 1.2 | 1.6 |
| Emotional Disturbance | 55 | 0.5 | 1.0 |
| Intellectual Disability | 31 | 0.3 | 0.5 |
| Learning Disability | 344 | 3.4 | 4.6 |
| Other Health Impairment | 260 | 2.6 | 2.8 |
| Other Disabilities | 44 | 0.4 | 1.0 |
| Speech/Language <br> Impairment | 208 | 2.1 | 1.9 |
| All Disabilities | 1,066 | 10.6 | 13.4 |

## Students with Disabilities Placed Outside of the District ${ }^{2}$

|  | District |  | State |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | Rate (\%) | Rate (\%) |
| Public Schools in <br> Other Districts | 11 | 1.0 | 6.3 |
| Private Schools <br> or Other Settings | 70 | 6.6 | 9.1 |

${ }^{2}$ Grades K-12
${ }^{1}$ Grades K-12
Overall Expenditures: ${ }^{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$

|  |  | Per Pupil |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | Total (\$) | District (\$) | State (\$) |  |
| Instructional Staff and Services | $93,155,073$ | 9,154 | 9,387 |  |
| Instructional Supplies and Equipment | $1,344,439$ | 132 | 318 |  |
| Improvement of Instruction and Educational Media Services | $10,502,002$ | 1,032 | 541 |  |
| Student Support Services | $12,446,649$ | 1,223 | 1,048 |  |
| Administration and Support Services | $18,267,033$ | 1,795 | 1,790 |  |
| Plant Operation and Maintenance | $15,874,357$ | 1,560 | 1,608 |  |
| Transportation | $8,750,829$ | 807 | 845 |  |
| Costs of Students Tuitioned Out | $6,822,720$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |  |
| Other | $2,008,728$ | 197 | 194 |  |
| Total | $169,171,830$ | 16,407 | 15,762 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Land, Buildings, and Debt Service | Additional Expenditures |  |  |  |

${ }^{3}$ Expenditures may be supported by local tax revenues, state grants, federal grants, municipal in-kind services, tuition and other sources.

Special Education Expenditures: 2014-15

|  | District <br> Total (\$) <br> Percent of <br> Total (\%) |  | State <br> Percent of <br> Total (\%) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Certified Personnel | $16,312,083$ | 40.3 | 35.1 |
| Noncertified Personnel | $4,187,306$ | 10.3 | 14.5 |
| Purchased Services | $3,599,841$ | 8.9 | 5.5 |
| Tuition to Other Schools | $6,533,537$ | 16.1 | 21.6 |
| Special Ed. Transportation | $2,667,058$ | 6.6 | 8.3 |
| Other Expenditures | $7,174,771$ | 17.7 | 15.0 |
| Total Expenditures | $40,474,596$ | 100.0 | 100.0 |

Expenditures by Revenue Source: ${ }^{4}$
2014-15
Percent of Total (\%)

|  | Percent of Total (\%) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Including |  |  |
| School |  |  |
| Construction |  |  | \(\left.\begin{array}{c}Excluding <br>

School <br>
Construction\end{array}\right]\)
${ }^{4}$ Revenue sources do not include state-funded Teachers' Retirement Board contributions, vocational-technical school operations, SDE budgeted costs for salaries and leadership activities and other state-funded school districts (e.g., Dept. of Children and Families and Dept. of Correction)
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## Performance and Accountability

## District Performance Index (DPI)

A District Performance Index (DPI) is the average performance of students in a subject area (i.e., ELA, Mathematics or Science) on the state summative assessments. The DPI ranges from 0-100. A DPI is reported for all students tested in a district and for students in each individual student group. Connecticut's ultimate target for a DPI is 75 .

|  | English Language Arts(ELA) |  | Math |  | Science |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | DPI | Count | DPI | Count | DPI |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Asian | 315 | 81.4 | 315 | 80.0 | 134 | 69.4 |
| Black or African American | 106 | 62.2 | 106 | 56.7 | 48 | 54.8 |
| Hispanic or Latino | 484 | 68.9 | 484 | 62.0 | 184 | 56.8 |
| Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Two or More Races | 163 | 80.6 | 161 | 75.2 | 61 | 68.7 |
| White | 4298 | 77.2 | 4285 | 71.6 | 1863 | 67.4 |
| English Learners | 145 | 56.6 | 144 | 53.9 | 53 | 43.3 |
| Non-English Learners | 5228 | 77.1 | 5214 | 71.5 | 2241 | 67.0 |
| Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals | 516 | 62.8 | 516 | 55.5 | 242 | 56.5 |
| Not Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals | 4857 | 78.0 | 4842 | 72.7 | 2052 | 67.6 |
| Students with Disabilities | 694 | 57.6 | 689 | 51.7 | 311 | 49.6 |
| Students without Disabilities | 4679 | 79.4 | 4669 | 73.9 | 1983 | 69.1 |
| High Needs | 1141 | 61.2 | 1135 | 55.4 | 503 | 53.7 |
| Non-High Needs | 4232 | 80.7 | 4223 | 75.3 | 1791 | 70.0 |
| District | 5373 | 76.6 | 5358 | 71.1 | 2294 | 66.5 |


\section*{National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP): Percent At or Above Proficient ${ }^{1}$ <br> |  | NAEP 2015 |  | NAEP 2013 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| READING | Grade 4 | Grade 8 | Grade 12 |
| Connecticut | $43 \%$ | $43 \%$ | $50 \%$ |
| National Public | $35 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $36 \%$ |
| MATH | Grade 4 | Grade 8 | Grade 12 |
| Connecticut | $41 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $32 \%$ |
| National Public | $39 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $25 \%$ |}

${ }^{1}$ NAEP is often called the "Nation's Report Card." It is sponsored by the U.S. Department of Education. This table compares Connecticut's performance to that of national public school students. Performance standards for state assessments and NAEP are set independently. Therefore, one should not expect performance results to be the same across Smarter Balanced and NAEP. Instead, NAEP results are meant to complement other state assessment data. To view student subgroup performance on NAEP, click here.

Physical Fitness Tests: Students Reaching Health Standard ${ }^{2}$

|  | Percent of Students by Grade ${ }^{3}$ (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Tested Grades |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4 | 6 | 8 | 10 | Count | Rate (\%) |
| Sit \& Reach | 87.4 | 85.0 | 84.8 | 81.8 | 2,993 | 84.9 |
| Curl Up | 90.9 | 90.7 | 92.3 | 85.8 | 2,993 | 90.0 |
| Push Up | 81.5 | 83.5 | 85.1 | 79.0 | 2,993 | 82.3 |
| Mile Run/PACER | 90.0 | 90.0 | 94.5 | 82.1 | 2,993 | 89.4 |
| All Tests - District | 66.0 | 70.0 | 69.1 | 60.1 | 2,993 | 66.4 |
| All Tests - State | 50.6 | 49.8 | 50.6 | 51.1 |  | 50.5 |

${ }^{2}$ The Connecticut Physical Fitness Assessment (CPFA) is administered to all students in Grades 4, 6, 8 and 10. The health-related fitness scores gathered through the CPFA should be used to educate and motivate children and their families to increase physical activity and develop lifetime fitness habits.
${ }^{3}$ Only students assessed in all four areas are included in this calculation.
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Cohort Graduation: Four-Year ${ }^{1}$

|  | 2014-15 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | Cohort Count | 2015-16 | Rate (\%) | Target $^{3}$ (\%) | Target Achieved | Target $^{3}$ (\%) |
| Black or African American | 27 | 88.9 | 89.9 | No | 90.4 |  |
| Hispanic or Latino | 68 | 89.7 | 80.4 | Yes | 82.1 |  |
| English Learners | $*$ | $*$ | . |  | . |  |
| Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals | 112 | 87.5 | 85.5 | Yes | 86.6 |  |
| Students with Disabilities | 93 | 71.0 | 77.3 | No | 79.3 |  |
| District | 717 | 94.1 | 93.5 | Yes | 93.5 |  |
| State $^{4}$ |  | 87.2 |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ The four-year cohort graduation rate represents the percentage of first-time 9th graders who earn a standard high school diploma within four years. Statewide, district and school results for cohorts of 2010 through 2014 are available online ${ }^{2}$ Cohort count includes all students in the cohort as of the end of the 2014-15 school year.
${ }^{3}$ Targets are calculated when there are at least 20 students in a cohort in the base year (i.e., 2010-11).
${ }^{4}$ Targets are not displayed at the state level.

11th and 12th Graders Meeting Benchmark on at Least One College Readiness Exam ${ }^{5}$

|  | Participation ${ }^{6}$ | Meeting Benchmark |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rate (\%) | Count | Rate (\%) |
| Female | 99.0 | 546 | 71.4 |
| Male | 96.6 | 479 | 64.2 |
| Black or African American | 98.0 | * | * |
| Hispanic or Latino | 99.2 | 59 | 48.0 |
| White | 97.7 | 864 | 70.1 |
| English Learners | * | * | * |
| Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals | 97.9 | 52 | 36.6 |
| Students with Disabilities | 74.2 | 11 | 17.7 |
| District | 97.8 | 1,025 | 67.8 |
| State | 95.6 |  | 40.7 |

${ }^{5}$ College readiness exams and benchmark scores are as follows:

- SAT ${ }^{\oplus}$ - meets benchmark score on SAT, Revised SAT or Connecticut School Day SAT
- $\mathrm{ACT}^{\circledR}$ - meets benchmark score on 3 of 4 exams (benchmark score varies by subject)
- $\mathrm{AP}^{\circledR}-3$ or higher on any one $\mathrm{AP}^{\circledR}$ exam
- $\mathrm{IB}^{\circledR}-4$ or higher on any one $\mathrm{IB}^{\circledR}$ exam
- Smarter Balanced - Level 3 or higher on both ELA and math
${ }^{6}$ Participation Rate equals the number of test-takers in 11th and 12th grade divided by the number of students enrolled in those grades, as a percent. Sources:

SAT ${ }^{\circledR}$ and $\mathrm{AP}^{\circledR}$ statistics derived from data provided by the College Board.
Copyright © 2016 The College Board. www.collegeboard.org
ACT ${ }^{\circledR}$ statistics derived from data provided by ACT, Inc.
Copyright © 2016 ACT, Inc. www.act.org
$\mathrm{IB}^{\circledR}$ statistics derived from data provided by the International Baccalaureate Organization.
Copyright © International Baccalaureate Organization 2016

College Entrance and Persistence
\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{l|cc} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Class of 2015 } \\
\text { Entrance }^{7} \\
\text { Rate (\%) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Class of 2014 } \\
\text { Persistence }\end{array}
$$ <br>

Rate (\%)\end{array}\right]\)|  | 89.6 | 96.2 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Female | 84.0 | 93.8 |
| Male | 64.0 | $*$ |
| Black or African American | 83.8 | 90.0 |
| Hispanic or Latino | 88.8 | 96.4 |
| White | 65.0 | $*$ |
| English Learners | 74.8 | 92.4 |
| Eligible for Free or |  |  |
| Reduced-Price Meals | 64.4 | 84.6 |
| Students with Disabilities | 87.0 | 95.1 |
| District | 71.9 | 88.3 |
| State |  |  |

${ }^{7}$ College entrance refers to the percent of high school graduates from the year who enrolled in college any time during the first year after high school.
${ }^{8}$ College persistence refers to the percent of students who enrolled in college the first year after high school and returned for a second year (Freshman to Sophomore persistence).

Source: National Student Clearinghouse
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## Next Generation Accountability Results

These statistics are the results from Connecticut's Next Generation Accountability System for districts and schools. This system is a broad set of 12 indicators that help tell the story of how well a school is preparing its students for success in college, careers and life. It moves beyond test scores and graduation rates and instead provides a more holistic, multifactor perspective of district and school performance and incorporates student growth over time.

| Indicator |  | Index/Rate | Target | Points | Max | \% Points | State Average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ELA Performance Index | All Students | 76.6 | 75 | 50.0 | 50 | 100.0 | 67.7 |
|  | High Needs Students | 61.2 | 75 | 40.8 | 50 | 81.6 | 56.7 |
| Math Performance Index | All Students | 71.1 | 75 | 47.4 | 50 | 94.8 | 61.4 |
|  | High Needs Students | 55.4 | 75 | 36.9 | 50 | 73.8 | 49.9 |
| Science Performance Index | All Students | 66.5 | 75 | 44.3 | 50 | 88.6 | 57.5 |
|  | High Needs Students | 53.7 | 75 | 35.8 | 50 | 71.5 | 47.0 |
| ELA Academic Growth | All Students | 70.0\% | 100\% | 70.0 | 100 | 70.0 | 63.8\% |
|  | High Needs Students | 65.0\% | 100\% | 65.0 | 100 | 65.0 | 58.3\% |
| Math Academic Growth | All Students | 70.6\% | 100\% | 70.6 | 100 | 70.6 | 65.0\% |
|  | High Needs Students | 60.0\% | 100\% | 60.0 | 100 | 60.0 | 57.4\% |
| Chronic Absenteeism | All Students | 3.8\% | <=5\% | 50.0 | 50 | 100.0 | 9.6\% |
|  | High Needs Students | 7.8\% | <=5\% | 44.4 | 50 | 88.8 | 15.6\% |
| Preparation for CCR | \% Taking Courses | 95.7\% | 75\% | 50.0 | 50 | 100.0 | 67.6\% |
|  | \% Passing Exams | 67.8\% | 75\% | 45.2 | 50 | 90.4 | 40.7\% |
| On-track to High School Graduation |  | 95.2\% | 94\% | 50.0 | 50 | 100.0 | 85.1\% |
| 4-year Graduation All Students (2015 Cohort) |  | 94.1\% | 94\% | 100.0 | 100 | 100.0 | 87.2\% |
| 6-year Graduation - High Needs Students (2013 Cohort) |  | 86.0\% | 94\% | 91.5 | 100 | 91.5 | 78.6\% |
| Postsecondary Entrance (Class of 2015) |  | 87.0\% | 75\% | 100.0 | 100 | 100.0 | 71.9\% |
| Physical Fitness (estimated part rate) and (fitness rate) |  | 93.1\% \| 66.4\% | 75\% | 44.3 | 50 | 88.5 | 89.2\% \| 50.5\% |
| Arts Access |  | 44.9\% | 60\% | 37.4 | 50 | 74.8 | 47.5\% |
| Accountability Index |  |  |  | 1133.5 | 1350 | 84.0 |  |


| Gap Indicators | Non-High Needs Rate ${ }^{1}$ | High Needs Rate | Size of Gap | State Gap Mean + 1 Stdev ${ }^{2}$ | Is Gap an Outlier? ${ }^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Achievement Gap Size Outlier? |  |  |  |  | Y |
| ELA Performance Index Gap | 75.0 | 61.2 | 13.8 | 16.5 |  |
| Math Performance Index Gap | 75.0 | 55.4 | 19.6 | 18.9 |  |
| Science Performance Index Gap | 70.0 | 53.7 | 16.4 | 17.2 |  |
| Graduation Rate Gap | 94.0\% | 86.0\% | 8.0\% | 15.3\% | N |

${ }^{1}$ If the Non-High Needs Rate exceeds the ultimate target ( 75 for Performance Index and $94 \%$ for graduation rate), the ultimate target is used for gap calculations.
${ }^{2}$ If the size of the gap exceeds the state mean gap plus one standard deviation, the gap is an outlier.

| Subject/Subgroup |  | Participation Rate (\%) ${ }^{3}$ | ${ }^{3}$ Minimum participation standard is 95\%. | Connecticut's State Identified Measurable Goal for |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ELA | All Students <br> High Needs Students | $\begin{aligned} & 98.7 \\ & 97.9 \end{aligned}$ |  | Children with Disabilities (SIMR) <br> Increase the reading performance of all 3rd grade students with disabilities statewide, as measured by Connecticut's English Language Arts (ELA) |
| Math | All Students High Needs Students | $\begin{aligned} & 98.4 \\ & 97.5 \end{aligned}$ |  | Performance Index. <br> Grade 3 ELA Performance Index for Students with Disabilities: |
| Science | All Students High Needs Students | $\begin{aligned} & 98.8 \\ & 97.7 \end{aligned}$ |  | District:58.2 State: 51.4 |

Supporting Resources
Two-page FAQ
Detailed Presentation
Using Accountability Results to Guide Improvement: comprehensive documentation and supports
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## Narratives

## School District Improvement Plans and Parental Outreach Activities

.The Fairfield Board of Education approved a five-year District Improvement Plan in July, 2015. This Plan outlines core strategies, specific actions and student achievement measures to guide the continuous improvement of the Fairfield Public Schools through the year 2020. The Theory of Action for the District, on which the District Improvement Plan is based, outlines the Core Strategies to achieve the Mission and Goals of the school system. These Core Strategies are: Instructional Program, Teams and School Improvement Plans, Leadership Capacity, and Resources. Within each Core Strategy, specific actions are identified to support key improvements which are expected to have the greatest chance of impacting the Instructional Core and therefore student learning. Underlying the District Improvement Plan and the Theory of Action is the expectation that all staff members, teams, departments, and schools engage regularly in the reflective practices of examining data, taking action, reviewing the results of our actions, adjusting our practice to improve results and evaluating effectiveness in a cycle of continuous improvement.

The staff in each of our 18 schools develop their own individual School Improvement Plans aligned to the District Improvement Plan which include school specific actions to support a positive school climate and promote growth in student learning. Teacher goals are aligned to specific actions in the school plans and identify targeted growth in learning for every student. The school improvement process includes school-based improvement teams as well as content/discipline and grade-based teams, which develop and monitor instructional and communication strategies and goals to support the cycle of continuous improvement. .All of our schools host parent coffees or workshops designed to address issues pertinent to parents, such as: transitions from elementary to middle and middle to high school; curriculum topics of interest, such as addressing the needs of emerging readers and using just right books, or how to support math learning at home; supporting students dealing with stress and anxiety; dealing with school climate issues; and, the college application process including financial aid. Our high schools and middle schools provide family outreach and support to students with absentee issues as well as support staff to help engage students in the many activities offered during and after school. Most of our schools have a process for welcoming new families and also publish monthly newsletters that highlight activities occurring within the schools. All of our schools have very active PTSs and volunteer support in which parents are actively involved as classroom volunteers, Reading buddies, School Climate Committee members, fundraisers to support technology in the classrooms, workers to support our many school gardens, and as members of our curriculum and textbook approval committees. Several of our schools house Before and After School Childcare, some of which are also supported by our PTA groups. Our student managements system and our district and school websites promote continuous and effective communication between our parents, students, staff, and the community.

## Efforts to Reduce Racial, Ethnic and Economic Isolation

.Fairfield Public Schools participates in the Open Choice Program with Bridgeport Public Schools. On average, sixty to seventy students from Bridgeport attend our schools from kindergarten through grade twelve. These students are selected by CES using a lottery system and welcomed into the elementary schools in Fairfield, starting in kindergarten or grade one with most remaining in Fairfield through graduation. Many of our students participate in Magnet and Vocational Programs offered by surrounding towns. Each year, approximately 20 of our students attend the Six To Six Magnet School sponsored by CES, approximately fourteen students attend the Discovery Inter-district Magnet School sponsored by Bridgeport, approximately sixty students attend Bridgeport's Fairchild Wheeler Inter-district Magnet High School for Biotechnology, Engineering, and Information Technology, and close to one hundred students on average attend Bridgeport's Aquaculture Program. We also have a small number of students who attend the Agriscience School in Trumbull and another small group who participate in the Global Studies Program in Norwalk. In addition to these choice programs, some of our schools participate in the One Book, Two Schools Program, which involves the entire school community and a sister school community in Bridgeport. Generally, the chosen reading provides opportunities to discuss a social topic across both communities and helps to support literacy.

In addition to participation in magnet schools and Open Choice, Fairfield has opened two Preschool Programs to help reduce racial, ethnic, and economic isolation within the district. These programs are offered at two of our highest performing elementary schools and include programs for three and four year old students. Families who struggle economically may qualify for free or reduced tuition and free transportation and may attend from any of our elementary schools. Once the preschool program is completed, the child may be permanently enrolled in the "out of home district" elementary school and siblings may also attend.

# District Profile and Performance Report for School Year 2015-16 Fairfield School District 

## Equitable Allocation of Resources among District Schools

.All of our schools receive funds for student supplies and activities based on a per student allocation. The allocation is based on an approximation of the cost of the program per student by level, and the school receives funding based on the enrollment times the allocation. Textbooks are purchased as part of the district budget on a six year revolving schedule to support new curricula. The cost of textbooks is based on the number of students with the assumption that each student needs at least one copy of the text and those costs are built into the district budget based on the Curriculum Revision Cycle. Technology is provided to students based on a Classroom Distribution Model, in which the necessary technology for every classroom at a given level (elementary, middle, high) is identified and supported in multi-year plans at the district level. Maintenance of technology equipment is also funded by the district on a five year replacement plan. Facilities maintenance is also funded at the district level with a long term capital improvement plan to ensure all of our schools are built to capacity and in excellent condition.


[^0]:    ${ }^{3}$ College-and-Career-Readiness Courses include Advanced Placement ${ }^{\circledR}$ (AP), International Baccalaureate ${ }^{\circledR}$ (IB), Career and Technical Education(CTE), workplace experience and dual enrollment courses.

