ENCLOSURE NO. 1
June 23, 2015

FAIRFIELD PUBLIC SCHOOLS

District Improvement Plan 2015-2020

DRAFT June 23 ~~May 19~~, 2015

Approved by the Board of Education on_____

FAIRFIELD
PUBLIC SCHOOLS

Board of Education

Philip Dwyer, Chairman
John Convertito, Vice-Chairman
Jessica Gerber, Secretary
Paul Fattibene
Donna Karnal
Eileen Liu-McCormack
John Llewellyn
Jennifer Maxon-Kennelly
Marc Patten

Administration

David Title, Superintendent
Karen Parks, Assistant Superintendent
Margaret Boice, Director of Secondary Education
Thomas Cullen, Director of Operations
Ann Leffert, Director of Human Resources
Andrea Leonardi, Director of Special Education and Special Programs
Doreen Munsell, Director of Finance and Business Services
Michael Rafferty, Interim Director of Elementary Education

FAIRFIELD
PUBLIC SCHOOLS

District Improvement Plan

Section 1

Introduction

FAIRFIELD
PUBLIC SCHOOLS

Introduction

At the conclusion of the Fairfield Public Schools previous Strategic Plan on June 30, 2013, the Fairfield Board of Education began the process of developing a new Long-Range Plan. On January 15, 2013, the Board appointed the Advisory Committee on Mission and Goals to revise the school system's Mission and Goals[1]. This sub-committee met from February 2013 to January 2014, and submitted its recommendations to the full Board of Education. On March 11, 2014, the Board of Education adopted a revised Mission and Goals, which describe the Board's long-term vision for the Fairfield Public Schools.

The Board's Mission and Goals are aspirational. They are not a description of the current status of the school system; rather, they articulate the school system's loftiest aspiration - - a stretch, a challenge, to push itself to achieve what it had not previously achieved. The District Improvement Plan is designed to define the indicators that would represent the attainment of the Mission and Goals, as well as the actions necessary to achieve them over the next five years. The Mission and Goals are on the next page.

Formatted: Line spacing: Multiple 1.15 li

[1] *Policies 0100, 0110, and 0200*

FAIRFIELD
PUBLIC SCHOOLS

Timelines

Mission (0100), Long Range Goals (0110), Educational Goals (0200)

1. 10/9/12 BOE Adopts Goal
2. 1/15/13 BOE Approves Goals Advisory Committee
3. 4/11/13 – 6/13/13 Fourteen Member Goals Advisory Committee Meets 5 times
4. 6/25/13 BOE Receives Committee Report and Refers to Policy Committee
5. 8/19/13 – 1/6/14 Policy Committee Discusses at 7 Meetings and Forwards to BOE
6. 1/14/14 BOE First Reading of Policies
7. 3/11/14 BOE Approves Policies

Five-Year District Improvement Plan Process

1. May – August 2014 Superintendent and Staff Prepare DIP Planning Process
2. 9/9/14 BOE Reviews DIP
3. 12/9/2014 BOE Reviews Student Success Indicators
4. 4/7/15 and 4/21/15 BOE Reviews Draft of Initial Plan, Plan emailed to Town Officials
5. 5/19/15 BOE Receives First Draft of DIP, DIP Posted Online
6. 6/10/15 BOE Conducts Town Hall Meeting Focused on DIP
7. 6/15/2015 District Data Team Reviews BOE and Community Input
8. 6/23/15 BOE Reviews Second Draft of DIP
9. TBD BOE Approves Five Year District Improvement Plan

Formatted: Font: 20 pt

Formatted: Font: 20 pt

Formatted: Font: 14 pt, Bold

Formatted: List Paragraph, Numbered + Level: 1 + Numbering Style: 1, 2, 3, … + Start at: 1 + Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.5"

Formatted: List Paragraph, Numbered + Level: 1 + Numbering Style: 1, 2, 3, … + Start at: 1 + Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.5"

Formatted: Font: 12 pt

Formatted: Font: 14 pt, Bold

Formatted: List Paragraph, Numbered + Level: 1 + Numbering Style: 1, 2, 3, … + Start at: 1 + Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.5"

Formatted: Font: 12 pt

Formatted: List Paragraph, Numbered + Level: 1 + Numbering Style: 1, 2, 3, … + Start at: 1 + Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.5"

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Not Italic

FAIRFIELD
PUBLIC SCHOOLS

Mission

The mission of the Fairfield Public Schools, in partnership with families and community, is to ensure that every student acquires the knowledge and skills needed to be a lifelong learner, responsible citizen, and successful participant in an ever-changing global society through a comprehensive educational program.

Long-Term Goal

Fairfield Public Schools will ensure that every student is engaged in a rigorous learning experience that recognizes and values the individual and challenges each student to achieve academic progress including expressive, personal, physical, civic, and social development. Students will be respectful, ethical, and responsible citizens with an appreciation and understanding of global issues. Student achievement and performance shall rank among the best in the state and the nation.

Educational Goals

Fairfield Public School students will:

- develop into responsible citizens who exhibit ethical behavior;
- acknowledge, explore, and value the importance of diversity;
- develop a healthy personal identity and self-reliance;
- demonstrate strong motivational persistence to learn;
- exhibit an inquisitive attitude, open mind, and curiosity;
- acquire an understanding and appreciation of other cultures;
- understand international issues and demonstrate the skills needed to participate in a global society; and
- acquire knowledge of the following areas of study: science; technology; mathematics; language arts; social studies; literary, visual, and performing arts; world language; unified arts; health and physical education.

FAIRFIELD
PUBLIC SCHOOLS

Development Process

After the Board's adoption of its Mission and Goals on March 11, 2014, a process and timeline were developed to craft the District Improvement Plan to: 1) measure how to judge the school system's progress toward its Mission and Goals; and 2) identify the Core Strategies and Specific Actions the school system should undertake over the next five years to make substantial progress toward attaining its Mission and Goals.

The school system has been working on a number of improvement initiatives for several years. The intent of this Plan is to build on these efforts, not start over. Continuity is an important feature of any serious attempt to have a long-lasting impact on student learning.

At the same time, new ideas must be generated to move the school system along an improvement path that will lead to the attainment of the lofty aspiration articulated in the school system's Mission and Goals. This Plan, therefore, merges the benefits of sustained improvement efforts with new ideas into a single Plan.

The process of school system improvement over time can be represented by Figure 1. The vertical axis represents the school system quality and the horizontal axis represents time:

Figure 1



Point A represents the current state of the school system. Point B indicates that, if no improvement efforts are undertaken, at the end of five years, school system quality will be largely unchanged. Some would argue that, with no improvement efforts, Point B would actually be lower than Point A because of changes in the expectations of student learning that will occur over the next five years. Point C represents where the school system desires to be in terms of quality, as articulated by its Mission and Goals. The purpose of the Plan, therefore, is to design improvement efforts that will move the school system from Point B to Point C and measure the progress of these efforts in terms of student learning.

Long-range improvement consists of three distinct phases, represented in Figure 2:

Phase I focuses on the ENDS, translating the lofty aspirations for our students into reliable and valid Student Performance Indicators.

Phase II focuses on MEANS – how we intend to improve student achievement.

Phase III focuses on REVIEW, which occurs after the first full year of implementation and data reporting.

Figure 2
District Improvement Plan
Stages of Development



Part 1 ***-- Ends***

The first step in the process was determining the Student Performance Indicators that would accurately represent the current status (Point A) and the desired status (Point C) of the school system. This was done in two phases:

1. Agreement on the Student Performance Indicators as outlined in the "Criteria for Quality Student Performance Indicators." These indicators are stated in terms of student achievement, learning or outcomes.

2. Agreement on the five-year target for each Student Performance Indicator. Baseline data and targets are included in Section 2. Some SPI's are new and therefore baseline data may not be available at this time and, as a result, no targets are listed. Because of the number and complexity of our SPI's, this step was moved to the end of the process.

Part 2 ***– Means***

The next step in the process was determining the Core Strategies to be employed to achieve the ends in Part 1. These Core Strategies, taken together, are referred to as a Theory of Action. These adult actions will lead to improvement in student learning, achievement or other important student outcomes embodied in the Mission and Goals. After the Core Strategies were identified, the next step was to determine the Specific Actions that, if enacted, would implement each of the Core Strategies over the next five years. The scheduling of Specific Actions for a given year is done on an annual basis, not up front for all five years of the Plan.

Part 3 ***– Review Progress***

Because of a rapidly changing educational landscape, any Plan of this duration will need regular updating and review. District improvement is necessarily a continuous process. As such this District Improvement Plan must be reviewed by the Board of Education periodically, and at least annually. ~~Annually, t~~The administration will prepare a public update each fall on the progress of the Student Performance Indicators and the Specific Actions completed during the previous year. In addition, we will set out the Specific Actions to be undertaken during the next school year. During the third year of implementation, a formal review of the Plan will ~~should~~ be undertaken to determine if

Specific Actions need to be modified, subtracted or added to the Plan for consideration and affirmance of the Board of Education.

FAIRFIELD
PUBLIC SCHOOLS

Model of Continuous Improvement

The school system has been working with a model of continuous improvement as represented in Figure 3. The base of the model represents a coherent set of Improvement Plans at the school system, school, department, grade, and individual level. The school system's Theory of Action is adapted at the department and school level to establish a through-line of consistency from the school system to the classroom levels. These Plans inform and are informed by the cycle of data analysis as represented in the diagram. Professional Learning, to improve the Instructional Core, is critical to the success of this model.

Figure 3

Fairfield Public Schools
Model For Using Data For Continuous Improvement



FAIRFIELD
PUBLIC SCHOOLS

Theory of Action

The purpose of a Theory of Action is to outline our Core Strategies to achieve the Mission and Goals of the school system.

There are four Core Strategies in our Theory of Action: Instructional Program, Teams/School Improvement Plans, Leadership Capacity, and Resources. Under each Core Strategy, we list a more specific description of the actions the school system proposes to undertake to support this strategy. These actions are school system priorities, some of which are already in some stage of implementation.

Underlying this Theory of Action is the expectation that all staff members, teams, departments and schools engage regularly in reflective practice – examining data, taking action, reviewing the results of our actions, adjusting our practice to improve results and evaluating our effectiveness in a cycle of continuous improvement as shown in Figure 3.

Instructional Program

If we ensure that a rigorous, comprehensive instructional program is consistently delivered across all schools and grade levels, with alignment between the written, taught and assessed curriculum, then instruction will be of consistently high quality and student learning will improve.

- Align and implement curriculum to state and national standards on a systematic schedule and ensure proper articulation
- Develop and implement common assessments aligned to the curriculum in all content areas
- Develop implementation guides in all content areas as curriculum is revised
- Hold staff accountable for consistent implementation of all approved curriculum
- Implement and evaluate the effectiveness of evidence-based instructional strategies in all content areas
- Ensure a positive school climate

Teams/School Improvement Plans

If we work effectively in teams across all levels of the organization to examine system, school and individual student progress, create a culture where individuals regularly research and engage in developing and sharing effective practices, and regularly support and supervise teachers in implementing effective classroom practices, then teachers will improve instruction and student learning will improve.

- Implement School system and School Improvement Plans based on data and research-based practices that will improve achievement (includes academic and school climate indicators)
- Implement department-level improvement plans for vertical consistency, aligned to the school system and school improvement plans
- Implement school-wide data teams in each school to review progress on the SIP, share effective practices, and adjust SIP as warranted
- Implement grade level and/or department data teams
- Implement a school system level data team
- Implement Instructional Rounds

Leadership Capacity

If we strengthen the instructional leadership capacity of teachers and administrators, then we will be better able to identify and implement effective instructional practices, and help teachers improve their practices through support and accountability. This improved instructional practice will lead to improved student learning.

- Focus All PK-12 Leadership Meetings throughout the year on improvement of instruction
- Establish a common understanding of what effective teaching practice (Marzano) looks like in classrooms
- Ensure consistent, quality feedback to teachers, principals and central office leaders on implementation of school system and school priorities
- Implement Professional Growth and Evaluation Plans
- Demonstrate how education mandates/reforms can be used to leverage school system improvement efforts

FAIRFIELD
PUBLIC SCHOOLS

Resources

If we provide our staff and students with appropriate levels of educational resources (human, time and material) and if they use these resources effectively, then student learning will improve.

- For each improvement initiative, provide effective professional learning for all staff members on a continuous basis
- Recruit and retain highly qualified personnel for all vacant positions
- Align financial resources to enact school system priorities
- Partner with parents to achieve system priorities and goals
- Improve intervention efforts for struggling students and high-achieving students
- Ensure a safe, clean learning environment in all schools

FAIRFIELD
PUBLIC SCHOOLS

District Improvement Plan

Section 2

Student Performance Indicators

FAIRFIELD
PUBLIC SCHOOLS

Taken together, the entire set of Student Performance Indicators provides an accurate, comprehensive reflection of the school system's Mission and Goals, given assessment tools readily available at this time.

Criteria for Quality Student Performance Indicators:

- **Valid** – accurately reflects accomplishment of the Mission and Goals; worth dedicating scarce resources; reflects district priorities; creates appropriate incentives.
- **Reliable –** consistent, accurate measurement from one rater to another and over time.
- **Aligned to our curriculum –** so that staff receive consistent messages about the goals of instruction.
- **Publicly defensible and understood (or easily explained) –** may benchmark to other districts; publicly-reported student performance data is almost always included if curriculum alignment is present.
- **Good baseline data** exists or is easily gathered with existing resources.
- **Summative or highly predictive/critical point** (based on student data).
- **Not overly narrow in scope.**
- **Best available measures may be "proxies" in difficult-to-measure areas.**
- **Does not result in "over-testing" solely for the purposes of this Plan.**

Performance indicators and data collection tools can be found on pages 16-19.

Performance Indicators and Data Measures

FAIRFIELD PUBLIC SCHOOLS

See pages 22 and 23 for explanations.

	Assessment	Grade or Course Level	Subjects	Data Measures
1.	**Post High School Student Survey**		Success Post-High School	To Be Determined
2.	**Graduation Rates**			2.1 Percent of students graduating in 4years 2.2 Percent of students graduating in 4 years **and** qualifying for Free or Reduced Lunch 2.3 Percent of students graduating in 6 years 2.4 Percent of students graduating in 6 years **and** qualifying for Free or Reduced Lunch
3.	**AP Scores**	Grades 10-12	Multiple	3.1 Percent of students scoring 3 and above 3.2 Percent of students scoring 3 and above **and** qualifying for Free or Reduced Lunch 3.3 Percent of students scoring 4 and above 3.4 Percent of students scoring 4 and above **and** qualifying for Free or Reduced Lunch
4.	**AP Participation by Graduation**	Grades 10-12	Multiple	4.1 Percent of all students that successfully complete 1 AP course by graduation 4.2 Percent of all students that successfully complete 1 AP course by graduation **and** qualify for Free or Reduced Lunch
5.	**Career and Technical Education (CTE)**	High School	♦ Technology Education ♦ Family and Consumer Science ♦ Business	5.1 Percent of students enrolled 5.2 Percent of Non-Traditional* students enrolled *(*Non-traditional includes current and emerging high-skill occupations where one gender comprises less than 25% of those employed in such occupation.)*
6.	**Academic Expectations Rubrics**	Grade 11 Grade 12	♦ Creative and Critical Thinking ♦ Communication and Collaboration	6.1 Percent of students scoring at or above a 3 on a 1-4 scale 6.2 Percent of students scoring at or above a 3 on a 1-4 scale **and** qualifying for Free or Reduced Lunch 6.3 Percent of students scoring a 4 on a 1-4 scale 6.4 Percent of students scoring a 4 on 1-4 scale **and** qualifying for Free or Reduced Lunch
7.	**American Council on the Teaching of Foreign Languages (ACTFL) Assessment**	Level 20	♦ French ♦ Spanish ♦ Chinese	7.1 Percent of students scoring at or above Proficient Level 7.2 Percent of students scoring at the Advanced Level

Formatted Table

Formatted: Left

See pages 22 and 23 for explanations.

	Assessment	Grade or Course Level	Subjects	Data Measures
8.	**ACTFL Latin Interpretive Reading Assessment (ALIRA)**	Level 20	Latin	8.1 Percent of students scoring at or above Proficient Level 8.2 Percent of students scoring at Advanced Level
9.	**World Language Credits Earned by Graduation**	Grade 12	World Language	9.1 Percent of students earning 4+ credits by graduation 9.2 Percent of students earning 8+ credits by graduation
10.	**Calculus and Multivariable Participation**	Grade 12	Mathematics	10.1 Percent of graduating students that successfully completed at least one Calculus, or Multivariable Calculus course by graduation
11.	**PSAT**	Grades 10-11	• Mathematics • Language Arts	11.1 Percent of students scoring at or above Goal 11.2 Percent of students scoring at or above Goal and qualifying for Free or Reduced Lunch 11.3 Percent of students scoring at Advanced 11.4 Percent of students scoring at Advanced and qualifying for Free or Reduced Lunch
12.	**SBAC**	Grades 3-8		12.1 Percent of students at/above Meeting Achievement 12.2 Percent of students at/above Meeting Achievement and qualifying for Free or Reduced Lunch 12.3 Percent of students Exceeding Achievement 12.4 Percent of students Exceeding Achievement and qualifying for Free or Reduced Lunch
13.	**SBAC or SAT**	Grade 11	• Mathematics • Language Arts	13.1 Percent of students at/above Meeting Achievement 13.2 Percent of students at/above Meeting Achievement and qualifying for Free or Reduced Lunch 13.3 Percent of students Exceeding Achievement 13.4 Percent of students Exceeding Achievement and qualifying for Free or Reduced Lunch
~~11.~~14.	**Extra-Curricular Participation**	Grades 6-12	• Clubs • Sports • Arts	~~11.1~~14.1 Percent of students enrolled in at least one extra-curricular activity each year over-all ~~11.2~~14.2 Percent of students enrolled in at least one club activity each year ~~11.3~~14.3 Percent of students enrolled in at least one sports activity each year ~~11.4~~14.4 Percent of students enrolled in at least one arts activity each year

Formatted Table

Formatted: Indent: Left: -0.06", Hanging: 0.31"

Formatted: Font: 10 pt

Formatted: Justified, Right: 0", Bulleted + Level: 1 + Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.5"

Formatted: Normal, Justified, Indent: Left: 0.02", Right: 0.13", No bullets or numbering, Tab stops: 1.64", Left

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0.5", No bullets or numbering

Performance Indicators and Data Measures

FAIRFIELD PUBLIC SCHOOLS

See pages 22 and 23 for explanations.

Formatted Table

Assessment	Grade or Course Level	Subjects	Data Measures
~~12.~~15. **CMT/CAPT**	Grades 5,8, and 10	Science	~~12.1~~15.1 Percent of students scoring at or above Goal ~~12.2~~15.2 Percent of students scoring at or above Goal **and** qualifying for Free or Reduced Lunch ~~12.3~~15.3 Percent of students scoring at Advanced Level ~~12.4~~15.4 Percent of students scoring at Advanced Level **and** qualifying for Free or Reduced Lunch
~~13.~~16. **CT Physical Fitness Test**	4,8, and 10	Fitness	~~13.1~~16.1 Percent of students passing all 4 tests in grade 4 ~~13.2~~16.2 Percent of students passing all 4 tests in grade 8 ~~13.3~~16.3 Percent of students passing all 4 tests in grade 10
~~14.~~17. **District Common Assessments**	Grades K-11	Writing	~~14.1~~17.1 Percent of students scoring at or above Goal~~rade Level~~ ~~14.2~~17.2 Percent of students scoring at or above Goal ~~rade Level~~ **and** qualifying for Free or Reduced Lunch ~~14.3~~17.3 Percent of students scoring at Advanced ~~Level~~ ~~14.4~~17.4 Percent of students scoring at Advanced ~~Level~~ **and** qualifying for Free or Reduced Lunch
~~15.~~18. **School Climate Survey**	Grades 3-12	Climate	~~15.1~~18.1 Average student responses on a scale of 1-4 (1=Strongly Disagree, 4=Strongly Agree) to all student responses about safety, social-emotional well-being and citizenship (community service)
~~16.~~19. **STAR Reading**	Grades K-8	Reading	~~16.1~~19.1 Percent of students scoring at or above Goal~~rade Level~~ ~~16.2~~19.2 Percent of students scoring at or above Goal ~~rade Level~~ **and** qualifying for Free or Reduced Lunch. ~~16.3~~19.3 Percent of students scoring at Advanced~~above Grade Level~~ ~~16.4~~19.4 Percent of students scoring at Advanced ~~bove Grade Level~~ **and** qualifying for Free or Reduced Lunch.
~~17.~~20. **iReady Math**	Grades K-8	Math	~~17.1~~20.1 Percent of students scoring at or above Goal~~rade Level~~ ~~17.2~~20.2 Percent of students scoring at or above Goal ~~rade Level~~ **and** qualifying for Free or Reduced Lunch. ~~17.3~~20.3 Percent of students scoring at Advanced ~~above Grade Level~~ ~~17.4~~20.4 Percent of students scoring at Advanced ~~above Grade Level~~ **and** qualifying for Free or Reduced Lunch.

See pages 22 and 23 for explanations.

Assessment	Grade or Course Level	Subjects	Data Measures
~~18.~~21. **Attendance**	Grades K-12		~~18.1~~21.1 Average Daily Attendance Rate K-5 ~~18.2~~21.2 Average Daily Attendance Rate K-5 **and** qualifying for Free or Reduced Lunch ~~18.3~~21.3 Average Daily Attendance Rate, Grades 6-8 ~~18.4~~21.4 Average Daily Attendance Rate, Grades 6-8 **and** qualifying for Free or Reduced Lunch ~~18.5~~21.5 Average Daily Attendance Rate, Grades 9-12 ~~18.6~~21.6 Average Daily Attendance Rate, Grades 9-12 **and** qualifying for Free or Reduced Lunch
~~19.~~22. **Clinical Evaluation of Language Fundamentals (CELF)**	PK	• Vocabulary • Language	~~19.1~~22.1 Percent of students approaching benchmark ~~19.2~~22.2 Percent of students exceeding benchmark

Formatted Table

FAIRFIELD
PUBLIC SCHOOLS

Student Performance Indicator Descriptions

Post High School Student Survey

We will contract with an outside vendor to conduct an independent, reliable and valid assessment of our graduates, one year after high school graduation.

Academic Expectations Rubrics

The Academic Expectations Rubrics are internally designed and scored tools that measure our students' achievement of 21st Century Skills in the areas of Communicating and Collaborating as well as Critical and Creative Thinking. The rubrics will be used in grades 9 – 12 to assess students on performance-based assessments in a range of content areas. The use of these rubrics supports a NEASC expectation that school-wide rubrics will measure students' progress in these skills across all academic areas during the four years of high school.

American Council on the Teaching of Foreign Languages (ACTFL) Assessment of Performance Towards Proficiency in Languages (AAPPL)

The ACTFL Assessment of Performance Towards Proficiency in Languages (AAPPL) addresses the World-Readiness Standards for Learning Languages. The AAPPL Measure assesses the following modes of communication: Interpersonal Listening/Speaking; Presentational Writing; Interpretive Reading and Listening.

ACTFL – Latin Interpretive Reading Assessment

The ACTFL Latin Interpretive Reading Assessment (ALIRA) is a computer-adaptive assessment of Latin students' ability to read for comprehension a variety of Latin-language texts that typify those used in an instructional setting. One or two multiple-choice questions accompany each text and gather evidence of understanding of main ideas, supporting details, point-of-view, inferences, or text purpose. Criterion-referenced standards are used.

Calculus and Multivariable Calculus

One indicator of the rate at which students are successfully accelerated in mathematics is to measure the percentage of students in each graduating class who successfully complete Intro to Calculus, AP Calculus and/or Multivariable Calculus, the highest levels of mathematics available in our program.

CT Physical Fitness Test

The Connecticut Physical Fitness Assessment Program includes a variety of physical fitness tests designed to measure muscle strength, muscular endurance, flexibility and cardiovascular fitness. There are 4 sub-tests in this assessment.

District Common Assessments

In grades K-8, students produce on-demand, long-form writing three times per year. Students write in three different forms: informational, opinion/argumentative, and narrative. Writing is assessed using district writing rubrics that are aligned to the Connecticut Core Standards. Grade level expectations increase from year to year.

STAR – Reading

STAR Reading assessments are computer-adaptive. STAR Early Literacy measures skills in key domains of early literacy: Print Concepts, Phonological Awareness, Phonics and Word Recognition, Fluency, Vocabulary Acquisition and Use. STAR Reading measures skills within key domains: Phonics and Word Recognition, Fluency, Key Ideas and Details, Craft and Structure, Integration of Knowledge and Ideas, Range of Reading and Level of Text Complexity, and Vocabulary Acquisition and Use. Student results are reported as being below, on, or above level.

iReady - Math

iReady is a valid and reliable growth measure for Mathematics aligned to the Common Core Standards. This adaptive math screening tool covers the main domains of mathematics: Number and Operations, Algebra and Algebraic thinking, Measurement and Data, and Geometry. Student results are reported as being on, above or below level.

Clinical Evaluation of Language Fundamentals (CELF)

CELF is a rating scale for student progress in the following areas: (1) non-verbal communication, (2) conversational routines and skills and (3) asking for, giving and responding to information. Student progress is measured against age criterion scores.

Other Definitions:

Capstone Experience:

A capstone experience is a multifaceted, senior year assignment that serves as a culminating academic and intellectual project, presentation, or performance in which students demonstrate their acquisition of 21st Century Skills. In grades 9 through 11, students will be provided with short-term performance-based tasks that prepare them for the demands and rigor of the Capstone. Capstone experiences require students to be innovative and purposeful; to think broadly and deeply; and to use critical and creative thinking to solve complex problems. Attainment of these skills will be measured using the Academic Expectations Rubrics throughout a student's high school program.

FAIRFIELD
PUBLIC SCHOOLS

District Improvement Plan

Section 3

Specific Actions

One of the most difficult parts of planning is translating the lofty aspiration of the school system, as represented in its Mission and Goals, into actions that will make the Plan "come alive" and significantly impact student achievement. Most long-range plans fail not because the aspirations are not bold, but because of a school system's inability to imbed the improvement efforts of the district into the "real world" of running a school system.

Figure 4 represents this dilemma as a continuum, with the lofty "Dreams" of the Mission and Goals on one side, and the reality of "Doing" on the other. To "bridge" this gap, and keep the improvement efforts from falling into the abyss between Dreaming and Doing, we create Specific Actions to implement over the five-year period. It is the enactment of these Specific Actions that will enable the school system to move toward achieving its Mission and Goals and reach its five-year targets on the Student Performance Indicators.

Figure 4



To be effective, Specific Actions must meet a set of criteria. These are listed on the next page. The inclusion of a Specific Action in this Plan commits the school system to undertaking this Action sometime during the life of the Plan. The list may appear daunting in the aggregate; however, there are two important points to keep in mind. First, this represents, in some cases, a continuation and deepening of existing work. These are not all new initiatives. Second, this is five years' worth of work, not one.

For the past four years, at the start of each year, the administration has presented a list of improvement initiatives to be accomplished during that fiscal year. This list will continue to be published and used as the basis for our improvement efforts, as many of the items on the annual initiatives list will come directly from the District Improvement Plan. For each initiative, and for each Specific Action in a given year, a central office administrator will be given primary responsibility for ensuring its implementation, often with assistance from other staff in the central office and the schools. Assignments of responsibility are made on the basis of current position responsibilities, and that staff member is held accountable for implementation through the evaluation process. In that way, we have merged the operational work of the district leaders with the improvement work of this Plan, thereby minimizing the chances of improvement efforts falling into the abyss.

Criteria for Specific Actions in the District Improvement Plan

The Action:

- Will advance the District toward achieving its Mission and will improve one or more Student Performance Indicators
- Is aligned to the District Theory of Action
- Shows that the benefits of enacting this Action outweigh the costs (quantifiable and non-quantifiable)
- States a desired outcome that is either observable, demonstrable or measurable
- Is clear and understandable
- Requires a significant effort over at least a one-year period of time (may need to be several years) for full implementation
- Impacts the entire system or at least one complete level (elementary, middle, high school)

FAIRFIELD
PUBLIC SCHOOLS

Specific Actions

Collectively, this set of Specific Actions is designed to help the school system achieve its Mission and Goals. Some of the Actions represent a continuation and deepening of existing change initiatives. Some represent new ideas worthy of implementation sometime over the next five years.

Specific Actions would be scheduled at some point in the five years of the Plan, with the goal of fully implementing all the Actions by the end of the Plan. Not all Specific Actions will commence in year 1 (2015-2016). Actions will be scheduled to balance the work over the five-year period. For those Actions that are anticipated to take more than one year to complete, the estimated number of years from initiation to full implementation is noted in parentheses.

1. Instructional Program

If we ensure that a rigorous, comprehensive instructional program is consistently delivered across all schools and grade levels, with alignment between the written, taught and assessed curriculum, then instruction will be of consistently high quality and student learning will improve.

Curriculum Development and Implementation

1-1 Develop and implement a World Language program at the elementary school level that reflects the best research-based practices in the field. (2 years)

1-2 Implement a K-12 sequence of experiences supporting the development of skills leading to a successful capstone experience at the high school level. (3 years)

1-3 Develop a scope and sequence of technology skills PK-12 and embed in all subject areas. (2 years)

1-4 Implement the published curriculum renewal schedule, including status updates, as designed, each year. (5 years)

1-5 Develop and implement culturally competent curriculum PK-12 for social emotional learning and self-regulation that reflects the best research-based practices in the field and imbed in existing district structures (e.g., advisory, developmental guidance, health). (2 years)

1-6 For each curriculum revision, provide up-to-date instructional materials, including culturally relevant materials, to improve outcomes for our increasingly diverse population (including English Language Learners). (5 years)

1-7 Establish and implement a PK-12 scope and sequence for embedding executive functioning, study skills and independence into all curriculum areas. (2 years)

1-8 Improve the districtwide English Language Learners program and increase all teachers' capacity to serve this population of students.

1-9 Develop a comprehensive transition program from grade 5 to grade 6, and from grade 8 to grade 9 to increase student success at grades 6 and 9.

Assessment Development and Implementation

1-10 Expand and standardize the use of academic rubrics, K-12. (3 years)
1-11 Develop and implement high school performance tasks in grades 9 and 10, linked to a capstone experience, and assess student performance using the academic expectations rubrics. (3 years)
1-12 Develop and implement performance tasks at the middle and elementary schools in Language Arts, Math, Social Studies and Science in grades 6-12. (4 years)
1-13 Analyze, align and revise the assessment calendar PK-12 and calibrate the scoring of common assessments.

Professional Learning

1-14 Implement Professional Learning that will assist staff to analyze and use student performance data from district assessments.
1-15 Develop an annual Professional Learning calendar for all certified and non-certified staff based on improvement initiatives and state mandates.
1-16 Implement Professional Learning for all staff to improve our ability to address a diverse population of students and families.
1-17 Provide Professional Learning on how to implement academic rubrics. (2 years)
1-18 Implement Professional Learning on "Teaching in the Block" to all high school teachers. (3 years)
1-19 Implement a web-based curriculum platform to enhance consistent teacher communication and sharing of effective curriculum resources. (2 years)

Program Improvement

1-20 Implement the improved gifted model as designed in 2011-2012 in the elementary and middle schools.
1-21 Revise high school graduation requirements.
1-22 Review high school learning expectations regarding technology to implement a mastery-based requirement rather than a credit requirement.
1-23 Review/revise district guidelines regarding homework to reflect the latest research.
1-24 Implement a revised middle school schedule.
1-25 Revise Unified Arts offerings at the middle school level to strengthen the link to high school courses.
1-26 Develop and implement a middle school advisory program.

2. Teams/Improvement Plans

If we work effectively in teams across all levels of the organization to examine system, school and individual student progress, create a culture where individuals regularly research and engage in developing and sharing effective practices, and regularly support and supervise teachers in implementing effective classroom practices, then teachers will improve instruction and student learning will improve.

2-1 Align all school improvement plans with the District Improvement Plan.

2-2 Use vertical teams to develop curriculum, Department Improvement Plans, department-based Problems of Practice and Instructional Rounds in each content area.

2-3 Implement mixed-level observations of professional practice and peer conferences to improve vertical alignment.

2-4 Use data team meetings to analyze student performance and make instructional adjustments to improve learning of all students in all content areas.

2-5 Use technology to facilitate the effective use of student performance data into district, school, department and grade-level data teams.

2-6 Use best-practice models to create an ~~top-notch~~ alternative high school program that engages every student in a challenging and rigorous program. (2 years)

2-7 Use the District Data Team to analyze district performance data and model effective Data Team practices. (2 years)

2-8 All schools will engage in Instructional Rounds at least twice per year as part of the School Improvement Plan implementation.

3. Leadership Capacity

If we strengthen the instructional leadership capacity of teachers and administrators, then we will be better able to identify and implement effective instructional practices, and help teachers improve their practices through support and accountability. This improved instructional practice will lead to improved student learning.

3-1 Use the Marzano teacher evaluation protocols and rubrics to improve and calibrate instructional practices. (2 years)

3-2 Develop and implement a peer coaching model for teachers and administrators. (3 years)

3-3 Identify and train at least one teacher in each school to serve as a "Teacher Leader" for each district/school initiative (Rounds, Data Teams, etc.).

3-4 Align teacher goals in the Teacher Professional Growth Plan to goals in the School Improvement Plan and/or Department Improvement Plan. (2 years)

3-5 Implement an Administrators Academy to continually update the professional knowledge and skills for all school and district leaders.

4. Resources

If we provide our staff and students with appropriate levels of educational resources (human, time and material) and if they use these resources effectively, then student learning will improve.

Talent Development

4-1 Implement a plan to recruit more broadly to deepen the pool of highly qualified applicants for vacant positions.

4-2 Implement a research-based common protocol to select the most qualified applicant for vacant positions.

4-3 Develop and implement a New Teacher Academy to build capacity of all non-tenured teachers. (2 years)

4-4 Implement an elementary schedule which provides teachers more common planning time.

4-5 Implement common planning time for high school teachers.

4-6 Implement a research-based common protocol for the use of common planning time across all levels.

Technology

4-7 Implement the 3-year Technology Plan as designed each year. (3 years)

4-8 Implement a consistent "Bring Your Own Device" program throughout the school system that makes most effective use of the technology.

4-9 Expand the use of on-line learning throughout the system for enrichment, remediation, and low-enrollment courses. (3 years)

4-10 Develop and implement consistent practices in the proper use of technology by teachers and students outside of the school day.

4-11 Use technology to enhance professional learning for all staff members. (3 years)

Enhanced Services to Students

4-12 Develop a plan to minimize the impact of teacher absences on student learning.

4-13 Identify profiles of non-graduating high school students and develop a preventative intervention plan to increase the graduation rate.

4-14 Increase student access to assistance for emotional and mental health needs. (2 years)

4-15 Expand the continuum of services, using evidence-based practices, for academic and behavioral interventions with consistent processes and communication strategies. (2 years)

4-16 Increase instructional support beyond the school day for all struggling students to improve student achievement. (3 years)

4-17 Expand academic and non-academic enrichment opportunities to more K- 8 students. (2 years)

Parents

4-18 Research and develop an enhanced school-family partnership at each school as part of its School Improvement Plan.

4-19 Expand Family Resource Center resources to all Pre-K to 5 families.

4-20 Enhance communication efforts with parents through Infinite Campus, district and school websites and other technology, at each school and district-wide.

Communication

4-21 Communicate changes in the instructional program to all stakeholders in the community.

4-22 In partnership with the Fairfield Police Department, strengthen communication with all stakeholders on matters of school safety and security.

FAIRFIELD
PUBLIC SCHOOLS

District Improvement Plan

Section 4

Baseline Data and Targets

Student Performance Indicators with Baseline Data and Targets

#	Assessment	Grade Level or Course	Subjects	Measure	Baseline Data	2020 Target
1	Post HS Student Survey	Post HS	Success Post-HS	TBD	Summer 2016	
2	Graduation Rates			4-Year Graduation Rate	93.8%	96%
2	Graduation Rates			4-Year Graduation Rate and FR	82.7%	90%
2	Graduation Rates			6-Year Graduation Rate	Summer 2015	
2	Graduation Rates			6-Year Graduation Rate and FR	Summer 2015	
3	AP Scores	Grades 9-12	Various	Pct at 3 and above	89.3%	93%
3	AP Scores	Grades 9-12	Various	Pct at 3 and above and FR	90.5%	93%
3	AP Scores	Grades 9-12	Various	Pct at 4 and above	61.8%	70%
3	AP Scores	Grades 9-12	Various	Pct at 4 and above and FR	63.6%	70%
4	AP Participation by Graduation	Grades 9-12	Various	Pct successfully complete 1 course by graduation	93.4%	96%
4	AP Participation by Graduation	Grades 9-12	Various	Pct successfully complete 1 course by graduation and FR	84.0%	90%
5	Career/Tech Ed	Grades 9-12	Various	Pct enrolled	61.9%	75%
5	Career/Tech Ed	Grades 9-12	Various	Pct of non-traditional enrolled	9.4%	15%
6	Academic Expectations Rubrics	11	Creative and Critical Thinking	Pct at 3 and above	Summer 2016	
6	Academic Expectations Rubrics	11	Creative and Critical Thinking	Pct at 3 and above and FR	Summer 2016	
6	Academic Expectations Rubrics	11	Creative and Critical Thinking	Pct at 4	Summer 2016	
6	Academic Expectations Rubrics	11	Creative and Critical Thinking	Pct at 4 and FR	Summer 2016	
6	Academic Expectations Rubrics	12	Creative and Critical Thinking	Pct at 3 and above	Summer 2016	
6	Academic Expectations Rubrics	12	Creative and Critical Thinking	Pct at 3 and above and FR	Summer 2016	
6	Academic Expectations Rubrics	12	Creative and Critical Thinking	Pct at 4	Summer 2016	

Student Performance Indicators with Baseline Data and Targets

#	Assessment	Grade Level or Course	Subjects	Measure	Baseline Data	2020 Target
6	Academic Expectations Rubrics	12	Creative and Critical Thinking	Pct at 4 and FR	Summer 2016	
6	Academic Expectations Rubrics	11	Communication and Collaboration	Pct at 3 and above	Summer 2016	
6	Academic Expectations Rubrics	11	Communication and Collaboration	Pct at 3 and above and FR	Summer 2016	
6	Academic Expectations Rubrics	11	Communication and Collaboration	Pct at 4	Summer 2016	
6	Academic Expectations Rubrics	11	Communication and Collaboration	Pct at 4 and FR	Summer 2016	
6	Academic Expectations Rubrics	12	Communication and Collaboration	Pct at 3 and above	Summer 2016	
6	Academic Expectations Rubrics	12	Communication and Collaboration	Pct at 3 and above and FR	Summer 2016	
6	Academic Expectations Rubrics	12	Communication and Collaboration	Pct at 4	Summer 2016	
6	Academic Expectations Rubrics	12	Communication and Collaboration	Pct at 4 and FR	Summer 2016	
7	ACTFL	Level 20	French	Pct at/above Proficient	Summer 2016	
7	ACTFL	Level 20	French	Pct at Advanced	Summer 2016	
7	ACTFL	Level 20	Spanish	Pct at /above Proficient	Summer 2016	
7	ACTFL	Level 20	Spanish	Pct at Advanced	Summer 2016	
7	ACTFL	Level 20	Chinese	Pct at/above Proficient	Summer 2016	
7	ACTFL	Level 20	Chinese	Pct at Advanced	Summer 2016	
8	ALIRA	Level 20	Latin	Pct at/above Proficient	Summer 2016	
8	ALIRA	Level 20	Latin	Pct at Advanced	Summer 2016	
9	WL Credits by Graduation	12	World Languages	Pct of graduates with 4+ credits, 2 years	88.6%	93%
9	WL Credits by Graduation	12	World Languages	Pct of graduates with 8+ credits, 4 years	43.1%	50%
10	Calculus and Multivariable Participation	12	Mathematics	Pct Successfully Completed Course	13.26%	20%
11	PSAT	10	Language Arts	Pct at/above Goal		

Student Performance Indicators with Baseline Data and Targets

#	Assessment	Grade Level or Course	Subjects	Measure	Baseline Data	2020 Target
11	PSAT	10	Language Arts	Pct at/above Goal and FR		
11	PSAT	10	Language Arts	Pct at Advanced		
11	PSAT	10	Language Arts	Pct at Advanced and FR		
11	PSAT	10	Math	Pct at/above Goal		
11	PSAT	10	Math	Pct at/above Goal and FR		
11	PSAT	10	Math	Pct at Advanced		
11	PSAT	10	Math	Pct at Advanced and FR		
11	PSAT	11	Language Arts	Pct at/above Goal		
11	PSAT	11	Language Arts	Pct at/above Goal and FR		
11	PSAT	11	Language Arts	Pct at Advanced		
11	PSAT	11	Language Arts	Pct at Advanced and FR		
11	PSAT	11	Math	Pct at/above Goal		
11	PSAT	11	Math	Pct at/above Goal and FR		
11	PSAT	11	Math	Pct at Advanced		
11	PSAT	11	Math	Pct at Advanced and FR		
12	SBAC	3-8		Pct at/above Meeting Achievement		
12	SBAC	3-8		Pct at/above Meeting Achievement and FR		
12	SBAC	3-8		Pct Exceeding Achievement		
12	SBAC	3-8		Pct Exceeding Achievement and FR		
13	SBAC or SAT	11	Language Arts	Pct at/above Meeting Achievement		
13	SBAC or SAT	11	Language Arts	Pct at/above Meeting Achievement and FR		
13	SBAC or SAT	11	Language Arts	Pct Exceeding Achievement		
13	SBAC or SAT	11	Language Arts	Pct Exceeding Achievement and FR		
13	SBAC or SAT	11	Math	Pct at/above Meeting Achievement		
13	SBAC or SAT	11	Math	Pct at/above Meeting Achievement and FR		
13	SBAC or SAT	11	Math	Pct Exceeding Achievement		

Student Performance Indicators with Baseline Data and Targets

#	Assessment	Grade Level or Course	Subjects	Measure	Baseline Data	2020 Target
13	SBAC or SAT	11	Math	Pct Exceeding Achievement and FR		
14	Extra Curricular Participation	6-8	Extra Curricular	Pct in at least one activity overall	Summer 2015	
14	Extra Curricular Participation	6-8	Clubs	Pct in at least one Club activity	Summer 2015	
14	Extra Curricular Participation	6-8	Sports	Pct in at least one Sports activity	Summer 2015	
14	Extra Curricular Participation	6-8	Arts	Pct in at least one Arts activity	Summer 2015	
14	Extra Curricular Participation	9-12	Extra Curricular	Pct in at least one activity overall	Summer 2015	
14	Extra Curricular Participation	9-12	Clubs	Pct in at least one Club activity	Summer 2015	
14	Extra Curricular Participation	9-12	Sports	Pct in at least one Sports activity	Summer 2015	
14	Extra Curricular Participation	9-12	Arts	Pct in at least one Arts activity	Summer 2015	
15	CMT	5	Science	Pct at/above Goal	80.1%	90%
15	CMT	5	Science	Pct at/above Goal and FR	46.6%	70%
15	CMT	5	Science	Pct at Advanced	33.4%	45%
15	CMT	5	Science	Pct at Advanced and FR	6.9%	20%
15	CMT	8	Science	Pct at/above Goal	81.4%	90%
15	CMT	8	Science	Pct at/above Goal and FR	57.6%	75%
15	CMT	8	Science	Pct at Advanced	28.6%	50%
15	CMT	8	Science	Pct at Advanced and FR	15.3%	40%
15	CAPT	10	Science	Pct at/above Goal	73.5%	90%
15	CAPT	10	Science	Pct at/above Goal and FR	50.7%	75%
15	CAPT	10	Science	Pct at Advanced	47.7%	55%
15	CAPT	10	Science	Pct at Advanced and FR	25.4%	40%
16	CT Physical Fitness Test	4	Fitness	Pct Passing 4 Tests	67.0%	70%

Student Performance Indicators with Baseline Data and Targets

#	Assessment	Grade Level or Course	Subjects	Measure	Baseline Data	2020 Target
16	CT Physical Fitness Test	8	Fitness	Pct Passing 4 Tests	69.0%	70%
16	CT Physical Fitness Test	10	Fitness	Pct Passing 4 Tests	57.0%	70%
17	District Common Assessments	K	Writing	Pct at/above Goal	Summer 2015	
17	District Common Assessments	K	Writing	Pct at/above Goal and FR	Summer 2015	
17	District Common Assessments	K	Writing	Pct at Advanced	Summer 2015	
17	District Common Assessments	K	Writing	Pct at Advanced and FR	Summer 2015	
17	District Common Assessments	1	Writing	Pct at/above Goal	Summer 2015	
17	District Common Assessments	1	Writing	Pct at/above Goal and FR	Summer 2015	
17	District Common Assessments	1	Writing	Pct at Advanced	Summer 2015	
17	District Common Assessments	1	Writing	Pct at Advanced and FR	Summer 2015	
17	District Common Assessments	2	Writing	Pct at/above Goal	Summer 2015	
17	District Common Assessments	2	Writing	Pct at/above Goal and FR	Summer 2015	
17	District Common Assessments	2	Writing	Pct at Advanced	Summer 2015	
17	District Common Assessments	2	Writing	Pct at Advanced and FR	Summer 2015	
17	District Common Assessments	3	Writing	Pct at/above Goal	Summer 2015	
17	District Common Assessments	3	Writing	Pct at/above Goal and FR	Summer 2015	
17	District Common Assessments	3	Writing	Pct at Advanced	Summer 2015	
17	District Common Assessments	3	Writing	Pct at Advanced and FR	Summer 2015	
17	District Common Assessments	4	Writing	Pct at/above Goal	Summer 2015	
17	District Common Assessments	4	Writing	Pct at/above Goal and FR	Summer 2015	
17	District Common Assessments	4	Writing	Pct at Advanced	Summer 2015	

#	Assessment	Grade Level or Course	Subjects	Measure	Baseline Data	2020 Target
17	District Common Assessments	4	Writing	Pct at Advanced and FR	Summer 2015	
17	District Common Assessments	5	Writing	Pct at/above Goal	Summer 2015	
17	District Common Assessments	5	Writing	Pct at/above Goal and FR	Summer 2015	
17	District Common Assessments	5	Writing	Pct at Advanced	Summer 2015	
17	District Common Assessments	5	Writing	Pct at Advanced and FR	Summer 2015	
17	District Common Assessments	6	Writing	Pct at/above Goal	Summer 2015	
17	District Common Assessments	6	Writing	Pct at/above Goal and FR	Summer 2015	
17	District Common Assessments	6	Writing	Pct at Advanced	Summer 2015	
17	District Common Assessments	6	Writing	Pct at Advanced and FR	Summer 2015	
17	District Common Assessments	7	Writing	Pct at/above Goal	Summer 2015	
17	District Common Assessments	7	Writing	Pct at/above Goal and FR	Summer 2015	
17	District Common Assessments	7	Writing	Pct at Advanced	Summer 2015	
17	District Common Assessments	7	Writing	Pct at Advanced and FR	Summer 2015	
17	District Common Assessments	8	Writing	Pct at/above Goal	Summer 2015	
17	District Common Assessments	8	Writing	Pct at/above Goal and FR	Summer 2015	
17	District Common Assessments	8	Writing	Pct at Advanced	Summer 2015	
17	District Common Assessments	8	Writing	Pct at Advanced and FR	Summer 2015	
17	District Common Assessments	9	Writing	Pct at/above Goal	Summer 2015	
17	District Common Assessments	9	Writing	Pct at/above Goal and FR	Summer 2015	
17	District Common Assessments	9	Writing	Pct at Advanced	Summer 2015	
17	District Common Assessments	9	Writing	Pct at Advanced and FR	Summer 2015	

Student Performance Indicators with Baseline Data and Targets

#	Assessment	Grade Level or Course	Subjects	Measure	Baseline Data	2020 Target
17	District Common Assessments	10	Writing	Pct at/above Goal	Summer 2015	
17	District Common Assessments	10	Writing	Pct at/above Goal and FR	Summer 2015	
17	District Common Assessments	10	Writing	Pct at Advanced	Summer 2015	
17	District Common Assessments	10	Writing	Pct at Advanced and FR	Summer 2015	
17	District Common Assessments	11	Writing	Pct at/above Goal	Summer 2015	
17	District Common Assessments	11	Writing	Pct at/above Goal and FR	Summer 2015	
17	District Common Assessments	11	Writing	Pct at Advanced	Summer 2015	
17	District Common Assessments	11	Writing	Pct at Advanced and FR	Summer 2015	
18	School Climate Survey	3-5	Climate	Avg Student Responses on Scale of 1-4	3.2	3.5
18	School Climate Survey	6-12	Climate	Avg Student Responses on Scale of 1-4	2.8	3.2
19	STAR	K	Reading	Pct at/above Goal	Summer 2016	
19	STAR	K	Reading	Pct at/above Goal and FR	Summer 2016	
19	STAR	K	Reading	Pct at Advanced	Summer 2016	
19	STAR	K	Reading	Pct at Advanced and FR	Summer 2016	
19	STAR	1	Reading	Pct at/above Goal	Summer 2016	
19	STAR	1	Reading	Pct at/above Goal and FR	Summer 2016	
19	STAR	1	Reading	Pct at Advanced	Summer 2016	
19	STAR	1	Reading	Pct at Advanced and FR	Summer 2016	
19	STAR	2	Reading	Pct at/above Goal	Summer 2016	
19	STAR	2	Reading	Pct at/above Goal and FR	Summer 2016	
19	STAR	2	Reading	Pct at Advanced	Summer 2016	

Student Performance Indicators with Baseline Data and Targets

#	Assessment	Grade Level or Course	Subjects	Measure	Baseline Data	2020 Target
19	STAR	2	Reading	Pct at Advanced and FR	Summer 2016	
19	STAR	3	Reading	Pct at/above Goal	Summer 2016	
19	STAR	3	Reading	Pct at/above Goal and FR	Summer 2016	
19	STAR	3	Reading	Pct at Advanced	Summer 2016	
19	STAR	3	Reading	Pct at Advanced and FR	Summer 2016	
19	STAR	4	Reading	Pct at/above Goal	Summer 2016	
19	STAR	4	Reading	Pct at/above Goal and FR	Summer 2016	
19	STAR	4	Reading	Pct at Advanced	Summer 2016	
19	STAR	4	Reading	Pct at Advanced and FR	Summer 2016	
19	STAR	5	Reading	Pct at/above Goal	Summer 2016	
19	STAR	5	Reading	Pct at/above Goal and FR	Summer 2016	
19	STAR	5	Reading	Pct at Advanced	Summer 2016	
19	STAR	5	Reading	Pct at Advanced and FR	Summer 2016	
19	STAR	6	Reading	Pct at/above Goal	Summer 2016	
19	STAR	6	Reading	Pct at/above Goal and FR	Summer 2016	
19	STAR	6	Reading	Pct at Advanced	Summer 2016	
19	STAR	6	Reading	Pct at Advanced and FR	Summer 2016	
19	STAR	7	Reading	Pct at/above Goal	Summer 2016	
19	STAR	7	Reading	Pct at/above Goal and FR	Summer 2016	
19	STAR	7	Reading	Pct at Advanced	Summer 2016	
19	STAR	7	Reading	Pct at Advanced and FR	Summer 2016	

Student Performance Indicators with Baseline Data and Targets

#	Assessment	Grade Level or Course	Subjects	Measure	Baseline Data	2020 Target
19	STAR	8	Reading	Pct at/above Goal	Summer 2016	
19	STAR	8	Reading	Pct at/above Goal and FR	Summer 2016	
19	STAR	8	Reading	Pct at Advanced	Summer 2016	
19	STAR	8	Reading	Pct at Advanced and FR	Summer 2016	
20	iReady	K	Math	Pct at/above Goal	79.7%	83%
20	iReady	K	Math	Pct at/above Goal and FR	51.9%	58%
20	iReady	K	Math	Pct at Advanced	47.8%	50%
20	iReady	K	Math	Pct at Advanced and FR	35.2%	40%
20	iReady	1	Math	Pct at/above Goal	83.4%	88%
20	iReady	1	Math	Pct at/above Goal and FR	65.6%	72%
20	iReady	1	Math	Pct at Advanced	47.6%	54%
20	iReady	1	Math	Pct at Advanced and FR	26.2%	40%
20	iReady	2	Math	Pct at/above Goal	85.4%	90%
20	iReady	2	Math	Pct at/above Goal and FR	68.8%	75%
20	iReady	2	Math	Pct at Advanced	45.7%	54%
20	iReady	2	Math	Pct at Advanced and FR	20.3%	40%
20	iReady	3	Math	Pct at/above Goal	83.7%	90%
20	iReady	3	Math	Pct at/above Goal and FR	51.1%	75%
20	iReady	3	Math	Pct at Advanced	43.4%	54%
20	iReady	3	Math	Pct at Advanced and FR	11.4%	40%
20	iReady	4	Math	Pct at/above Goal	86.1%	90%

Student Performance Indicators with Baseline Data and Targets

#	Assessment	Grade Level or Course	Subjects	Measure	Baseline Data	2020 Target
20	iReady	4	Math	Pct at/above Goal and FR	56.9%	80%
20	iReady	4	Math	Pct at Advanced	55.0%	60%
20	iReady	4	Math	Pct at Advanced and FR	20.8%	45%
20	iReady	5	Math	Pct at/above Goal	86.3%	90%
20	iReady	5	Math	Pct at/above Goal and FR	60.8%	80%
20	iReady	5	Math	Pct at Advanced	41.8%	60%
20	iReady	5	Math	Pct at Advanced and FR	21.5%	45%
20	iReady	6	Math	Pct at/above Goal	83.5%	90%
20	iReady	6	Math	Pct at/above Goal and FR	44.3%	80%
20	iReady	6	Math	Pct at Advanced	47.3%	60%
20	iReady	6	Math	Pct at Advanced and FR	15.7%	45%
20	iReady	7	Math	Pct at/above Goal	86.5%	90%
20	iReady	7	Math	Pct at/above Goal and FR	68.4%	80%
20	iReady	7	Math	Pct at Advanced	55.0%	60%
20	iReady	7	Math	Pct at Advanced and FR	25.3%	45%
20	iReady	8	Math	Pct at/above Goal	86.1%	90%
20	iReady	8	Math	Pct at/above Goal and FR	63.6%	80%
20	iReady	8	Math	Pct at Advanced	59.0%	70%
20	iReady	8	Math	Pct at Advanced and FR	27.3%	45%
21	Attendance	K-5		Attendance Rate	96.2%	98%
21	Attendance	K-5		Attendance Rate and FR	95.6%	98%

Student Performance Indicators with Baseline Data and Targets

#	Assessment	Grade Level or Course	Subjects	Measure	Baseline Data	2020 Target
21	Attendance	6-8		Attendance Rate	96.1%	98%
21	Attendance	6-8		Attendance Rate and FR	94.9%	98%
21	Attendance	9-12		Attendance Rate	96.6%	98%
21	Attendance	9-12		Attendance Rate and FR	95%	98%
22	CELF	PK	Vocabulary and Language	Pct Approaching Benchmark	Summer 2015	
22	CELF	PK	Vocabulary and Language	Pct Exceeding Benchmark	Summer 2015	